

**OPTN/UNOS Kidney-Pancreas Workgroup
Meeting Minutes
August 14, 2018
Teleconference Call**

**Nicole Turgeon, MD, FACS, Chair, Kidney Transplantation Committee
Jon Odorico, MD, Chair, Pancreas Transplantation Committee**

Introduction

The Kidney-Pancreas Workgroup (the Workgroup) met via teleconference on 08/14/2018 to discuss the following agenda items:

1. Recap of Data and Additional Data Context
2. Circle Sizes and Potential Justifications/Difficulties

The following is a summary of the Workgroup's discussions.

1. Recap of Data and Additional Data Context

The workgroup call began with a reminder of our charge to remove DSA and region from kidney allocation policy and base our frameworks on the board-approved principles of distribution as well as the OPTN Final Rule. Members of the work group were encouraged to register for the KP Work Group Basecamp site.

UNOS staff provided some additional context to preliminary data points that were discussed on the August 7th KP workgroup call. Namely, staff presented data on Population and Land Area Distribution by DSA and Region as well as new geographic maps that display more circles at 150nm, 300nm, 500nm, and 800nm around transplant centers.

2. Circle Sizes and Potential Justifications/Difficulties

Next, UNOS staff presented a spreadsheet that outlined each of the circle sizes that had been proposed on the previous call along with corresponding columns illustrating potential principles of distribution justification, any relevant data points that support such a circle size, as well as some potential roadblocks in defending each circle. This was following by a discussion between members that provided valuable feedback towards potential justifications for individual circles.

Following that discussion, an informal poll for each circle (small and large) was conducted to gauge consensus around each circle size. The results are outlined below:

Small Circle Sizes

75nm Circle 13%
150nm Circle 67%
250nm Circle 20%

Large Circle Sizes

250nm Circle 20%
500nm Circle 60%
800nm Circle 20%

Following the poll, a lengthy discussion occurred wherein members expressed why they favored certain sizes based on the available data as well as their professional experience. It was clear

that a 150nm / 500nm had strong support; however, points were raised as to whether 150nm should really be 175nm or 200nm. Leadership expressed the need to model as wide a range as is feasible on the front-end in order to better fine-tune the sizes if necessary after modeling.

The call ended with a brief synopsis of progress and an outlook on next steps and scheduled meetings.