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Executive Summary

The OPTN Liver and Intestinal Organ Transplantation Committee (the Committee) requested that SRTR use the liver simulated allocation model (LSAM) to assess the simulated impact of Enhanced 11 Regions for liver distribution.

What's new in this analysis? The Committee has seen several reports assessing different types and variations of conceptualized liver redistribution policies. The major differences between this report and previous analyses include:

- **DCD donors and donors aged ≥ 70 years:** Organs from donation after circulatory death (DCD) donors and/or donors aged ≥ 70 years are allocated using a separate allocation order in which within-DSA MELD candidates are prioritized over regional MELD candidates.

- **Enhanced regions:** Candidates listed at a transplant program within 150 miles of a donor hospital are considered to be within the UNOS region during the match run for a recovered organ.

- **Proximity points:** All scenarios examined here include five additional MELD/PELD points awarded to candidates within a certain proximity to the donor hospital. Two variations on proximity point policies are included: (1) proximity points awarded to candidates within a 150-mile radius of the donor hospital, and (2) proximity points awarded to candidates within the DSA or within a 150-mile radius of the donor hospital. For adult candidates, proximity points are added to their calculated (laboratory) MELD scores. For pediatric candidates, proximity points are added to their allocation MELD/PELD scores. This adjusted MELD score is not capped at 40. For example, an adult within 150 miles of a donor hospital with a calculated MELD score of 37 would have an adjusted MELD score of 42. Another adult at the same center with a MELD of 40 would have an adjusted MELD score of 45 and would still be higher in the allocation order.

- **MELD/PELD sharing thresholds:** Two of the scenarios examined here include a “sharing threshold” at MELD/PELD of 29 or above. This means that only candidates with a MELD/PELD score (calculated MELD score for adults, allocation MELD/PELD score for pediatric candidates) of 29 or above are included in the first level of regional allocation. Two of the scenarios include a “sharing threshold” at MELD/PELD 22 or above. The last two scenarios have no “sharing threshold,” and adult candidates use the higher of their calculated or allocation MELD scores. (See details of allocation orders for these policies in Appendix D.)

Main Findings

**MELD scores at transplant:** The variance in median MELD/PELD at transplant among DSAs is projected to decrease 1.5- to 2-fold in all alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy for all candidates, and 2- to 3-fold for candidates with no exceptions. The maps of median allocation MELD/PELD at transplant by DSA show the decrease in disparity for all recipients compared with the current policy scenario. The maps of median calculated MELD/PELD at transplant by DSA for recipients with no exceptions show similarly reduced disparity. At the same time, the national median MELD/PELD at transplant for all candidates is not projected to increase compared with current policy.
Transplant rates and counts: Transplant rates overall are not projected to change in alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy. Similarly, LSAM projects that transplant counts overall may not change under current or alternative policies, since the ranges of estimates overlap. In population subgroups, transplant rates decrease for candidates with HCC and Other exceptions, with only a small decrease in transplant counts, indicating that these candidates may wait longer for transplant, but numbers of transplants are not projected to decrease much.

- Transplant rates and counts for candidates with M/P 35+ decrease under alternative policy scenarios with sharing thresholds compared with current policy. In the no-sharing-threshold scenarios, transplant rates for these candidates do not change, but transplant counts decrease.

- Transplant counts for candidates with M/P 29-34 increase in all alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy. However, the rates increase only in the no-sharing-threshold scenarios. This projected increase is likely due to candidates with M/P 29+ being included in the enhanced region offer pool.

- Transplant counts are projected to increase for candidates with M/P 25-28 and decrease for candidates with M/P 15-24 under alternative policies compared with current policy. However, transplant rates for these candidates may remain the same as under current policy.

- Transplant counts for candidates with M/P < 15 are expected to increase under alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy. This is due to candidates with M/P 10-14 who receive five proximity points and move up in the allocation order above national 1A and 1B candidates.

- The variation in transplant rates among DSAs decreases slightly in all alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy.

Waitlist mortality rates and counts: Overall waitlist mortality rates and counts for all candidates are projected to decrease in all alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy. This is likely due to more transplants occurring more quickly for higher-MELD/PELD candidates on the waiting list.

- Waitlist mortality rates and counts are projected to decrease for candidates with M/P 35+ in sharing threshold alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy. Waitlist mortality counts are projected to decrease in the no-sharing-threshold scenarios, but rates are not projected to change.

- Waitlist mortality counts and rates are projected to be slightly lower for candidates with M/P 29-34.

- Waitlist mortality counts may decrease slightly for candidates with M/P 25-28. Waitlist mortality counts remain the same or decrease for candidates with M/P 15-24 under alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy. However, waitlist mortality rates for these candidates are projected to remain unchanged from current policy.

- The overall variance in waitlist mortality rates among DSAs may decrease very slightly or remain unchanged from current policy under alternative policy scenarios.
**Posttransplant mortality rates and counts:** Posttransplant mortality rates and counts are not expected to change under alternative policy scenarios. However, in sharing threshold scenarios, counts may slightly increase for no-exception recipients.

**Transport metrics:** Overall transport time, transport distance, and percentages of organs flown are projected to increase under alternative policy scenarios compared with current policy.

**Subgroup analysis:** SRTR also assessed the projected effect of the alternative policies on age (pediatric), sex (female), race/ethnicity (African American, Hispanic/Latino, Asian), education level (high school or less, more than high school), insurance type (private, public), and urban/rural (metropolitan, micropolitan, small town, rural) subgroups. For most metrics, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, insurance type, and urban/rural subgroups were affected similarly to the overall population (as described above). However, projected effects for pediatric candidates differed from overall results.

- **Pediatric candidates:** Transplant counts and rates increase for the pediatric population. Under current policy, median travel time, median travel distance, and percentage of organs flown are higher for pediatric populations than for the overall population. Travel metrics increase in alternative scenarios for the overall population, however, transport time and distance decrease in alternative scenarios for pediatric populations.

**Comparison of Alternative Policy Scenarios**

**Tradeoff between transport increases and disparity reductions:** Alternative policy scenarios with a projected decrease in disparities show a corresponding increase in travel metrics. All alternative policy scenarios examined are projected to decrease the disparity in median MELD/PELD at transplant from current policy, while increasing travel time, travel distance, and percentages of organs flown.

**Proximity points:** A notable projected difference between the two types of proximity points (150-mile radius circle versus DSA) is present only with regard to transport metrics. Proximity points awarded within the DSA or within 150 miles produce somewhat higher transport metrics across all alternative policy scenarios than proximity points awarded within a 150-mile radius circle from the donor hospital. This is likely because many DSAs are larger geographically than a 300-mile diameter circle, and organs are distributed to patients with proximity points within these somewhat larger (although variably sized) geographic areas.
## Overview data tables

### Table 1 Overview of main metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>variance in median allocation M/P at transplant</th>
<th>median allocation MELD/PELD at transplant</th>
<th>median transport time (hours)</th>
<th>median transport distance (miles)</th>
<th>% of organs flown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>10 (8.7,11.9)</td>
<td>29 (29,29)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.69,1.72)</td>
<td>88.5 (86.9,90)</td>
<td>50.7 (50.2,51.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>6 (5.3,7.6)</td>
<td>29 (29,29)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.73,1.75)</td>
<td>100.7 (98.7,103.4)</td>
<td>55.2 (54.5,55.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>5.8 (5.2,7.4)</td>
<td>29 (29,29)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.74,1.75)</td>
<td>102.1 (99.8,103.7)</td>
<td>55.6 (55.5,56.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>4.1 (3.4,4.6)</td>
<td>29 (29,29)</td>
<td>1.77 (1.76,1.77)</td>
<td>112.5 (110.2,115.4)</td>
<td>59.5 (58.8,60.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>4.3 (3.5,4.9)</td>
<td>29 (29,29)</td>
<td>1.77 (1.77,1.78)</td>
<td>113.8 (111.7,115.1)</td>
<td>59.9 (59.5,60.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>4.4 (3.9,4.8)</td>
<td>29.2 (29,30)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.78,1.79)</td>
<td>118.4 (117.2,119.3)</td>
<td>61.1 (60.8,61.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>4.3 (3.9,5.3)</td>
<td>29.2 (29,30)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.78,1.79)</td>
<td>119.9 (118.5,122.3)</td>
<td>61.4 (61,62.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All metrics reported as \textit{mean \{min, max\}} across the 10 simulation iterations.

### Table 2 Overview of additional metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>transplant rate</th>
<th>transplant count</th>
<th>waitlist mortality rate</th>
<th>waitlist mortality count</th>
<th>post-tx mortality rate</th>
<th>post-tx mortality count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>0.444 (0.436,0.452)</td>
<td>6651 (6575,6727)</td>
<td>0.097 (0.095,0.1)</td>
<td>1455 (1425,1504)</td>
<td>0.077 (0.075,0.08)</td>
<td>686 (666,721)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>0.437 (0.429,0.446)</td>
<td>6651 (6586,6728)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.088,0.092)</td>
<td>1366 (1335,1404)</td>
<td>0.077 (0.075,0.08)</td>
<td>682 (659,717)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>0.437 (0.431,0.445)</td>
<td>6644 (6563,6724)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.089,0.092)</td>
<td>1369 (1343,1408)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.074,0.08)</td>
<td>688 (662,712)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>0.434 (0.428,0.445)</td>
<td>6644 (6558,6746)</td>
<td>0.087 (0.085,0.088)</td>
<td>1337 (1302,1358)</td>
<td>0.077 (0.076,0.078)</td>
<td>681 (670,702)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>0.434 (0.429,0.443)</td>
<td>6647 (6562,6725)</td>
<td>0.087 (0.087,0.089)</td>
<td>1339 (1319,1366)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.076,0.08)</td>
<td>691 (669,718)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>0.436 (0.429,0.445)</td>
<td>6623 (6537,6709)</td>
<td>0.091 (0.09,0.093)</td>
<td>1390 (1368,1421)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.076,0.08)</td>
<td>687 (667,718)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>0.435 (0.427,0.445)</td>
<td>6617 (6547,6713)</td>
<td>0.091 (0.089,0.093)</td>
<td>1387 (1349,1414)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.075,0.082)</td>
<td>686 (663,734)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All metrics reported as \textit{mean \{min, max\}} across the 10 simulation iterations. All rates are per patient-year.
Policy concepts

This section provides a brief overview of policy concepts used in conversations regarding liver redistribution and in this report.

Area of Distribution

Distribution indicates the geographic area within which available donor organs are distributed. For liver transplant, organs are currently distributed within the DSA, the OPTN region, and nationally. See OPTN Policy 9.6.E – 9.6.G for more detail.

Allocation

Allocation indicates the process by which available donor organs are distributed. For liver transplant, organs are generally allocated by model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) and pediatric end-stage liver disease (PELD) scores and by blood type and waiting time. See OPTN Policy 9 for more detail.

Scientific concepts

Simulation modeling

One method used for policy evaluation is simulation modeling. Simulation modeling uses data and software to simulate the functioning of the nationwide liver transplant system. Patients are listed on the waiting list, donor organs arrive, and transplants occur, just as in real life. Policy conditions can be modified within the simulations, allowing us to examine the probable outcomes of various policy scenarios in a way that is close to real life without putting patients at risk.

The software tool that SRTR uses to conduct simulation modeling of the US liver transplant system is the liver simulated allocation model (LSAM). The LSAM is a discrete-event simulation of the liver allocation system, which simulates the allocation of donated livers to waitlisted candidates by drawing on historical patient data including candidate listing, candidate status changes, and organ donations.

Data request: provide revised LSAM data on key proposals for redistricting

The full text of the original OPTN data request to which this report responds is shown below, as submitted on June 29, 2017.
OPTN Committee Data Analysis Request Form

Date Form Submitted to HRSA:

Requesting Committee: OPTN Liver and Intestinal Organ Transplantation Committee

Date Committee Met: June 13, 2017

Date of Next Meeting: July 20 2017

OPTN staff member referring Committee's request: Ann Harper, MPH

Chair Approval? Yes

ANALYSES REQUESTED:

- Descriptive Statistical Requests (responsibility of OPTN contractor)
  - None

- Inferential STATISTICAL REQUESTS (RESPONSIBILITY OF SRTR CONTRACTOR)

Data Request 1: Provide revised LSA M data on key proposals for redistricting

Background: During the Committee conference call on June 12, 2017 the committee crafted a revised liver distribution proposal for Fall 2017 public comment submission. This data request takes priority over the request submitted on June 2, 2017.

The Committee is asking that the SRTR provide new LSA M modeling to reflect prioritization for adult candidates with calculated (laboratory) MELD/PFD scores with a threshold of 29, candidates less than 18 with allocation MELD/PFD scores with a threshold of 29, and assigning five priority points to candidates listed at centers within a 150-nautical mile radius of the donor hospital or OSA. The intent of these modifications is to mitigate the effects of broader sharing on distance organs travel and the percentage of organs transported by air.

Further, restricting broader sharing to those with MELD/PFD scores above a certain threshold is intended to provide greater access to those most in need of deceased donor livers, based on data demonstrating that the existing geographic disparities disproportionately affect those without MELD/PFD exceptions.

Strategic Goal or Committee Project Addressed: Reduce disparities in access to liver transplants.

Request:

Using the most recently available LSA M version and data, model the following distribution systems (in the order specified below):

1. Candidates listed at centers within a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional priority points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PFD for candidates age less than 18, with a sharing threshold of MELD/PFD 20+.

2. Candidates listed at centers within a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional priority points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PFD for candidates age less than 18, with a sharing threshold of MELD/PFD 22+.
3. Candidates listed at centers within a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates age less than 18, with no sharing threshold.
4. Candidates listed at centers within either (a) the DSA of the donor hospital or (b) a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 6 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates age less than 18, with a sharing threshold of MELD/PELD 29+.
5. Candidates listed at centers within either (a) the DSA of the donor hospital or (b) a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates age less than 18, with a sharing threshold of MELD/PELD 22+.
6. Candidates listed at centers within either (a) the DSA of the donor hospital or (b) a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates age less than 18, with no sharing threshold.

Proximity points are defined as follows: At the time of the match run, a liver candidate with a MELD or PELD score registered at a transplant hospital within a 150-mile radius of the donor hospital, or within the DSA as well as the 150-mile radius, receives five MELD or PELD points added to their score as described above.

For adults, proximity points are only added to calculated (lab) MELD score. For candidates less than 18, proximity points are added to the allocation MELD/PELD score.

Use the following allocation sequence for scenarios:

For adult donors:

1. Region or 150-mile circle (i.e., any candidate listed at centers within the Region, or at centers with 150 miles from the donor hospital) Status 1A
2. Region or 150-mile circle Status 1B
3. Region or 150-mile circle adjusted MELD with a MELD/PELD >= 29 (or 22, or no threshold)
4. DSA allocation MELD/PELD >= 16
5. Region or 150-mile circle allocation >= 15
6. National Status 1A
7. National Status 1B
8. National allocation MELD/PELD >= 15
9. DSA allocation MELD/PELD < 15
10. Region or 150-mile circle allocation MELD/PELD < 15
11. National allocation MELD/PELD < 15

In tier 3:

- For scenarios with a sharing threshold: The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points for candidates age < 18.
- For scenarios without a sharing threshold: For adults, the adjusted MELD is equal to either the exception MELD score, or the calculated (lab) MELD score plus proximity points (as applicable) (whichever is higher). For pediatrics, the adjusted score equals the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for candidates age < 18.

Livers from DCD donors or donors greater than or equal to 70 years old:

1. Region or 150-mile circle Adult or Pediatric status 1A
2. Region or 150-mile circle Pediatric status 1B
3. DSA, MELD or PELD of at least 15
4. Region or 150-mile circle MELD or PELD of at least 15
5. National Adult or Pediatric status 1A
6. National Pediatric status 1B
7. National MELD or PELD of at least 15
8. DSA MELD or PELD less than 15
9. Region or Circle MELD or PELD less than 15
10. Nation MELD or PELD less than 15

The allocation sequences for donors aged 0-10 and 11-17 should follow those used in the classifications described in the proposed policy.

Donors Age 0-10
1. Region or Circle Pediatric status 1A
2. Nation Pediatric status 1A and 0 to 11 years old
3. Region or Circle Adult status 1A
4. Region or Circle Pediatric status 1B
5. Region or Circle Any PELD
6. Region or Circle MELD of at least 15 and 12 to 17 years old
7. Region or Circle MELD of at least 15 and 12 to 17 years old
8. Region or Circle MELD less than 15 and 12 to 17 years old
9. Region or Circle MELD less than 15 and 12 to 17 years old
10. Nation Pediatric status 1A and 12 to 17 years old
11. Nation Adult status 1A
12. Nation Pediatric status 1B and 0 to 17 years old
13. Nation Any PELD
14. Nation Any MELD and 12 to 17 years old
15. Nation Any MELD and at least 18 years old

Donors Age 11-17
1. Region or Circle Pediatric status 1A
2. Region or Circle Adult status 1A
3. Region or Circle Pediatric status 1B
4. Region or Circle Any PELD
5. Region or Circle MELD of at least 15 and 12 to 17 years old
6. Region or Circle MELD of at least 15 and 12 to 17 years old
7. Region or Circle MELD less than 15 and 12 to 17 years old
8. Region or Circle MELD less than 15 and 12 to 17 years old
9. Nation Pediatric status 1A
10. Nation Adult status 1A
11. Nation Pediatric status 1B
12. Nation Any PELD
13. Nation Any MELD and 12 to 17 years old
14. Nation Any MELD and at least 18 years old

Based on the above scenarios, provide the following metrics. Relevant metrics will be stratified by all candidates, non-exception candidates, HCC candidates, and other exceptions. Metrics to be assessed for the overall population (nationwide) include:

- Waiting list mortality rates
- Variance in waiting list mortality rates
- Transplant rates
- Variance in transplant rates
- Counts of transplant
- Median MELD/PELD at transplant
- Variance in median MELD/PELD at transplant
- Median transport distance
- Median transport time
- Percent of organs flown for transport
Percent of organs transplanted in donor DSA
Post-transplant patient survival

* If a limited set of initial metrics will expedite the delivery of the results, these metrics can be prioritized for initial results.

Metrics will also be assessed by subgroup populations including:
- Urbanicity: metropolitan, micropolitan, and rural
- Insurance status: public and private
- Age: pediatric (under 18)
- Sex: female
- Race/ethnicity: African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, Caucasian
- MELD/PELD group: <16, 16-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35+ (includes Status 1A and 1B)
- Exception status: No exceptions, HCC exception, Other exception
- OPTN Region: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11

Relevant metrics will be displayed in maps by DSA and tables provided in an appendix for DSA level results for: Median MELD/PELD at transplant, transplant counts, transplant rates, waitlist mortality rates, percent of organs flown for transplant, percent of organs transplanted outside donor DSA.
Study population

The Committee has expressed strong interest in simulations based on the most recent data possible, and, if available, data collected after the Share35 liver allocation policy implementation. Reflecting this request, data for these policy simulations were collected between July 2013 and June 2016, post-Share35 implementation.

The simulation uses donor and candidate populations created by the LSAM donor and candidate generators. This software draws on patient data for transplant candidates listed at the beginning of the data cohort period, and candidates added to the waiting list and organs donated during the data cohort period. The generators use these real patient data to create independent donor and candidate populations for each of the multiple LSAM iterations involved in simulating each allocation scenario.

Analytical approach

Policy scenarios

The liver transplantation policy scenarios simulated include:

- **Current:**
  - Distribution: current distribution
  - DCD/≥70 allocation: Uses the same allocation order for all deceased donors
  - Proximity points: No proximity points
  - Sharing threshold: Share35 / sharing threshold of MELD/PELD ≥35 for the third tier of allocation (after allocation to Statuses 1A and 1B)
  - Ranking in 3rd tier of allocation proceeds by allocation MELD/PELD

- **M29 150m:**
  - Distribution: 11 OPTN Regions plus 150 mile radius circles from the donor hospital
  - DCD/≥70 allocation: Uses a different allocation order for organs from donors who are DCD or ≥70 years of age
  - Proximity points: Candidates listed at centers within a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates <18
  - Sharing threshold: sharing threshold of MELD/PELD ≥29 for the third tier of allocation (after allocation to Statuses 1A and 1B)
  - Ranking in 3rd tier of allocation proceeds by adjusted MELD/PELD. The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.
• **M29 150m DSA:**
  - Distribution: 11 OPTN Regions plus 150 mile radius circles from the donor hospital
  - DCD/≥70 allocation: Uses a different allocation order for organs from donors who are DCD or ≥70 years of age
  - Proximity points: Candidates listed at centers within either (a) the DSA of the donor hospital or (b) a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates <18
  - Sharing threshold: sharing threshold of MELD/PELD ≥29 for the third tier of allocation (after allocation to Statuses 1A and 1B)
  - Ranking in 3rd tier of allocation proceeds by adjusted MELD/PELD. The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.

• **M22 150m:**
  - Distribution: 11 OPTN Regions plus 150 mile radius circles from the donor hospital
  - DCD/≥70 allocation: Uses a different allocation order for organs from donors who are DCD or ≥70 years of age
  - Proximity points: Candidates listed at centers within a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates <18
  - Sharing threshold: sharing threshold of MELD/PELD ≥22 for the third tier of allocation (after allocation to Statuses 1A and 1B)
  - Ranking in 3rd tier of allocation proceeds by adjusted MELD/PELD. The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.

• **M22 150m DSA:**
  - Distribution: 11 OPTN Regions plus 150 mile radius circles from the donor hospital
  - DCD/≥70 allocation: Uses a different allocation order for organs from donors who are DCD or ≥70 years of age
  - Proximity points: Candidates listed at centers within either (a) the DSA of the donor hospital or (b) a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates <18
- Sharing threshold: sharing threshold of MELD/PELD ≥22 for the third tier of allocation (after allocation to Statuses 1A and 1B)
- Ranking in 3rd tier of allocation proceeds by adjusted MELD/PELD. The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.

  • 150m:
    - Distribution: 11 OPTN Regions plus 150 mile radius circles from the donor hospital
    - DCD/≥70 allocation: Uses a different allocation order for organs from donors who are DCD or ≥70 years of age
    - Proximity points: Candidates listed at centers within a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates <18
    - Sharing threshold: No sharing threshold
    - Ranking in 3rd tier of allocation proceeds by adjusted MELD/PELD. The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to either the exception MELD score, or the calculated (lab) MELD score plus proximity points (as applicable), whichever is higher. For pediatrics, the adjusted score equals the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.

  • 150m DSA:
    - Distribution: 11 OPTN Regions plus 150 mile radius circles from the donor hospital
    - DCD/≥70 allocation: Uses a different allocation order for organs from donors who are DCD or ≥70 years of age
    - Proximity points: Candidates listed at centers within either (a) the DSA of the donor hospital or (b) a 150 mile radius circle from the donor hospital receive 5 additional proximity points added to their lab MELD for adults and their allocation MELD/PELD for candidates <18
    - Sharing threshold: No sharing threshold
    - Ranking in 3rd tier of allocation proceeds by adjusted MELD/PELD. The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to either the exception MELD score, or the calculated (lab) MELD score plus proximity points (as applicable), whichever is higher. For pediatrics, the adjusted score equals the allocation MELD/PELD plus proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.

Metrics

The OPTN data request specified that the following outcome metrics be assessed. Metrics are assessed for the overall population, and, where possible, by current OPTN region and patient
exception status. SRTR also assessed metrics by subgroup populations including pediatrics (age younger than 18 years), sex (female), race/ethnicity (African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, white), education level (high school or less, more than high school), insurance type (private, public), and urban/rural (Metropolitan, Micropolitan, Small Town, Rural).

Metrics include:

- Waitlist mortality rates
- Variance in waitlist mortality rates
- Transplant rates
- Variance in transplant rates
- Transplant counts
- Median MELD/PELD at transplant
- Variance in median MELD/PELD at transplant
- Median transport distance
- Median transport time
- Percentage of organs flown for transport
- Percentage of organs transplanted within donor DSA
- Posttransplant patient survival

Results

Results for the simulated scenarios are reported primarily in the form of plots, with each plot displaying the values for a given metric across the 6 scenarios tested. In viewing these results, it is important to compare each of the 6 scenarios with the current allocation policy scenario to identify changes in outcome metrics due to the proposed policy changes. Each scenario was simulated 10 times, and the plot displays the range of results across the 10 simulations as a vertical line extending from the minimum value to the maximum value found for that metric and scenario. A point along that line marks the mean value of the metric across the 10 iterations.
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**Figure 45 Transplant counts by exception status - region 1**

**Table 3 Transplant counts by exception status - region 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>225.6 (218.3,235.7)</td>
<td>130.3 (122.7,137)</td>
<td>48.1 (42,53.3)</td>
<td>47.2 (43.3,50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>236.6 (228.7,245.3)</td>
<td>162.4 (155.7,172)</td>
<td>35.5 (30,38.7)</td>
<td>38.6 (35,45.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>238.2 (232.7,250.7)</td>
<td>163.6 (154.3,173.7)</td>
<td>35.3 (32.7,38.3)</td>
<td>39.3 (35.7,44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>237.9 (228.7,254.3)</td>
<td>171.7 (161.7,185.3)</td>
<td>29.9 (25.7,33.3)</td>
<td>36.3 (31.7,39.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>235.1 (223.7,243.3)</td>
<td>170.8 (155,181.3)</td>
<td>29.1 (24.3,32.3)</td>
<td>35.2 (30.7,40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>232.3 (224.7,241.7)</td>
<td>150.2 (141,162.7)</td>
<td>40.4 (33.3,44.7)</td>
<td>41.7 (36.7,49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>230.9 (225,247)</td>
<td>150.7 (142,162.7)</td>
<td>39.2 (36.42)</td>
<td>41 (36.3,44.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 46** Transplant counts by exception status - region 2

**Table 4** Transplant counts by exception status - region 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>842.3 (813.3,873)</td>
<td>571.6 (545.7,604.7)</td>
<td>118.2 (109,127.3)</td>
<td>152.4 (138,160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>821.2 (805.7,839.7)</td>
<td>603.4 (586,620.7)</td>
<td>88.2 (80,95.3)</td>
<td>129.7 (121,139.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>819.7 (794,840.7)</td>
<td>603 (582.7,620.7)</td>
<td>87.5 (77.7,95)</td>
<td>129.2 (121,140.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>820.5 (803.7,837)</td>
<td>617.4 (606.3,632.7)</td>
<td>78.1 (71.3,84.7)</td>
<td>125 (113,130.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>822.6 (804.3,846.7)</td>
<td>619.5 (597,7,632.7)</td>
<td>79.3 (70.84.3)</td>
<td>123.9 (117,132.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>815.1 (799.3,831.3)</td>
<td>581.2 (560.7,597.3)</td>
<td>95.3 (84.7,106.3)</td>
<td>138.6 (128,152.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>812.7 (791.837.3)</td>
<td>577 (559.7,594.3)</td>
<td>97.9 (92.7,105)</td>
<td>137.9 (127.7,148)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 47 Transplant counts by exception status - region 3

Table 5 Transplant counts by exception status - region 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>1121 (1092.3,1156.7)</td>
<td>822.6 (798,843)</td>
<td>150.3 (139.3,159)</td>
<td>148.2 (142.3,159.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>1136.2 (1111.3,1171.7)</td>
<td>860.5 (830.7,884)</td>
<td>146.5 (137.7,155.3)</td>
<td>129.2 (123,135.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>1132.6 (1098,1177.3)</td>
<td>860.9 (834.3,897.7)</td>
<td>145.3 (131.3,155.3)</td>
<td>126.3 (119.3,133.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>1128.6 (1101.3,1163)</td>
<td>880.3 (854.7,908.7)</td>
<td>129.6 (122,138.3)</td>
<td>118.8 (111.7,124.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>1131.9 (1101.3,1164.3)</td>
<td>885.7 (860.3,908.7)</td>
<td>128.7 (121.7,135.3)</td>
<td>117.6 (109,128.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>1139.9 (1109.7,1173.3)</td>
<td>857.5 (830.3,882.7)</td>
<td>153.8 (143.3,163.3)</td>
<td>128.6 (118,138.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>1137.7 (1096.3,1176.3)</td>
<td>857.3 (820,886.7)</td>
<td>151.5 (140.7,162.7)</td>
<td>128.9 (120.3,135.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 48 Transplant counts by exception status - region 4**

**Table 6 Transplant counts by exception status - region 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>691.8 (667.3,710)</td>
<td>476 (462.3,487)</td>
<td>114.1 (103.7,120.3)</td>
<td>101.6 (89.7,108.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>698.2 (680.3,722.3)</td>
<td>513.8 (499.3,540.3)</td>
<td>97.1 (90,101.7)</td>
<td>87.3 (78,93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>698 (676,715.7)</td>
<td>516.8 (506,527)</td>
<td>94 (84,101.3)</td>
<td>87.2 (77,94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>702 (679,713)</td>
<td>532 (518.3,545)</td>
<td>85.7 (77,90.3)</td>
<td>84.4 (77,7,90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>700.3 (667.7,716.7)</td>
<td>532 (515.7,553.3)</td>
<td>83.9 (75,90)</td>
<td>84.4 (75.3,93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>695.8 (675.3,713.7)</td>
<td>491.6 (478.7,504.7)</td>
<td>109.3 (102,119)</td>
<td>94.9 (87,100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>694.6 (671.3,714)</td>
<td>493.7 (483.7,501.3)</td>
<td>107.2 (101,116.3)</td>
<td>93.7 (86,103.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 49 Transplant counts by exception status - region 5

Table 7 Transplant counts by exception status - region 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>1008.5 (982.7,1022.7)</td>
<td>712.2 (691,723.7)</td>
<td>146.8 (137.3,153.7)</td>
<td>149.5 (141.7,157.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>1003.4 (984.7,1025)</td>
<td>757.7 (735.3,776.7)</td>
<td>122 (118,130)</td>
<td>123.7 (118.7,128.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>1001.1 (979,1018)</td>
<td>757.8 (739.7,776.3)</td>
<td>119.8 (112.3,126.7)</td>
<td>123.5 (116,136.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>1000.8 (977.7,1021)</td>
<td>778.1 (754.7,794.3)</td>
<td>104.6 (92.7,112.3)</td>
<td>118.2 (112.7,125.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>1003.5 (980.3,1014.7)</td>
<td>782.7 (755.3,804.7)</td>
<td>105.5 (89,114.7)</td>
<td>115.3 (106,122.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>985.5 (964.3,1002)</td>
<td>711.4 (693.7,724.7)</td>
<td>135.9 (127,143.7)</td>
<td>138.2 (127.7,148.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>986.3 (959,1015)</td>
<td>715.7 (694.7,739.7)</td>
<td>133.8 (125.3,140)</td>
<td>136.8 (128,146)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 50 Transplant counts by exception status - region 6

Table 8 Transplant counts by exception status - region 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>209.9 (194,219)</td>
<td>141.2 (128.7,147.3)</td>
<td>31 (29,34.7)</td>
<td>37.7 (36.3,41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>208.5 (196.3,220)</td>
<td>142 (131.7,151.3)</td>
<td>29.7 (26.3,35)</td>
<td>36.7 (33.7,42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>208.8 (195,216.3)</td>
<td>142.2 (130.7,154.3)</td>
<td>29.8 (26.7,33.7)</td>
<td>36.8 (32.3,39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>209.9 (195,218.7)</td>
<td>148.1 (133.3,162)</td>
<td>27.7 (24.32)</td>
<td>34.1 (28,40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>208.2 (194.3,224)</td>
<td>146.4 (137.7,158.3)</td>
<td>26.8 (23.7,31.3)</td>
<td>35 (30,41.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>210.7 (197.3,218.7)</td>
<td>141.6 (128,149.7)</td>
<td>32 (27.7,36.3)</td>
<td>37.1 (32.3,40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>210.7 (189.7,219.7)</td>
<td>142.5 (127,151)</td>
<td>31.8 (27.7,35.7)</td>
<td>36.4 (31.3,42.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 51 Transplant counts by exception status - region 7

Table 9 Transplant counts by exception status - region 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total (95% CI)</th>
<th>No Exceptions (95% CI)</th>
<th>HCC Exception (95% CI)</th>
<th>Other Exception (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>532.1 (511.3,550)</td>
<td>375.4 (358.7,397.3)</td>
<td>86.5 (78.7,94)</td>
<td>70.1 (63.3,77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>570.9 (552.3,591.7)</td>
<td>433.6 (416,459.7)</td>
<td>77.8 (69.3,84.3)</td>
<td>59.5 (54.3,67.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>569.1 (552,587.3)</td>
<td>432.1 (418,456)</td>
<td>77.1 (72.3,85.3)</td>
<td>59.9 (56.3,64.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>571.2 (549.7,593.3)</td>
<td>443.9 (420,7,474)</td>
<td>70.4 (63.3,75.7)</td>
<td>56.9 (49.3,66.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>569.4 (546,589)</td>
<td>442.9 (422,465.3)</td>
<td>70 (65.3,76)</td>
<td>56.5 (51.7,67.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>574.2 (556,598.3)</td>
<td>421.8 (403,7,449.3)</td>
<td>85.3 (79.3,93)</td>
<td>67.2 (61.7,77.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>571.7 (549,596)</td>
<td>420.2 (402,3,449)</td>
<td>84.7 (79.3,90.7)</td>
<td>66.8 (58,75.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 52 Transplant counts by exception status - region 8

Table 10 Transplant counts by exception status - region 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>466.4 (442.3,486.7)</td>
<td>315.7 (297.3,342.7)</td>
<td>66.3 (57.7,71.7)</td>
<td>84.4 (77.9,77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>465.9 (439.7,482.7)</td>
<td>330.9 (314.3,353.7)</td>
<td>60.4 (53.3,66.3)</td>
<td>74.5 (66.88,77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>461.5 (435.7,479.7)</td>
<td>330.1 (308.7,348.7)</td>
<td>59.6 (53.7,65.7)</td>
<td>71.8 (62.79,77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>463.2 (438.7,489)</td>
<td>341.4 (316,361.3)</td>
<td>53.9 (51.3,59)</td>
<td>67.9 (62.3,75.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>464.8 (439,488)</td>
<td>341.3 (313,367)</td>
<td>53.1 (48.3,56.3)</td>
<td>70.4 (61.7,74.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>465.4 (433.7,481.7)</td>
<td>320.8 (294,339.7)</td>
<td>66 (59,71)</td>
<td>78.5 (67.3,87.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>463.7 (442,484.7)</td>
<td>321.9 (307,342.7)</td>
<td>63.5 (57.7,70.3)</td>
<td>78.4 (72.3,86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 53 Transplant counts by exception status - region 9

Table 11 Transplant counts by exception status - region 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>310.5 (295,322.3)</td>
<td>179.4 (167,193)</td>
<td>52.9 (50.3,56.7)</td>
<td>78.2 (74.3,82.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>361.1 (348.7,371)</td>
<td>245.9 (233,257.3)</td>
<td>46 (42.3,53)</td>
<td>69.1 (60.7,76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>359.5 (339.7,367.7)</td>
<td>243.1 (233.3,252.7)</td>
<td>46.3 (41.7,50.3)</td>
<td>70 (60.3,77.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>365.6 (350.7,379)</td>
<td>256.4 (240,270.7)</td>
<td>42.5 (39,47.3)</td>
<td>66.7 (62,73.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>364.5 (349.7,377.3)</td>
<td>254.4 (241.3,267)</td>
<td>43.8 (40.3,45.7)</td>
<td>66.3 (60.3,75.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>374.3 (362.7,393.3)</td>
<td>242.2 (226,257.7)</td>
<td>54.5 (50.7,58.7)</td>
<td>77.7 (70.3,83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>373.4 (357.7,388)</td>
<td>239.9 (226.7,252)</td>
<td>53.9 (50,60)</td>
<td>79.6 (74.7,84.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 54 Transplant counts by exception status - region 10

Table 12 Transplant counts by exception status - region 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>552.1 (540,564.3)</td>
<td>386.8 (375.7,396.7)</td>
<td>61 (55.7,65.7)</td>
<td>104.3 (100.7,110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>497.6 (481.7,507.7)</td>
<td>359.8 (351.3,371.3)</td>
<td>52.8 (47.7,57.3)</td>
<td>85 (80,90.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>496.3 (477.7,509.3)</td>
<td>360.4 (345.7,372.3)</td>
<td>52.5 (47.3,56.7)</td>
<td>83.4 (79.7,88.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>500 (491,517.7)</td>
<td>367.1 (353,390)</td>
<td>49.3 (41.3,52.3)</td>
<td>83.5 (79,91.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>498.6 (479,521)</td>
<td>368.3 (352.7,387.7)</td>
<td>48 (41.3,54)</td>
<td>82.3 (74.7,89.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>489.4 (474.3,501.3)</td>
<td>348.3 (337.7,361.3)</td>
<td>54.5 (49.3,62.3)</td>
<td>86.6 (81,90.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>494.5 (481.7,507)</td>
<td>353.4 (345.3,363.3)</td>
<td>55.1 (50.6,63.3)</td>
<td>86.1 (80.9,4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 55 Transplant counts by exception status - region 11

Table 13 Transplant counts by exception status - region 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>690.9 (671.7,707)</td>
<td>485.7 (457.3,505.3)</td>
<td>83.3 (77.7,89.3)</td>
<td>121.9 (116.7,135.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>651.2 (632.3,669.3)</td>
<td>466.5 (456.7,482.7)</td>
<td>78 (67.3,84.7)</td>
<td>106.7 (101.7,113.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>659 (640.3,686.3)</td>
<td>475 (449,503.3)</td>
<td>77.7 (72,83)</td>
<td>106.2 (101.7,116.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>644.4 (624.3,659.3)</td>
<td>473.6 (447.7,493.3)</td>
<td>68.2 (61.78)</td>
<td>102.5 (97,109.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>648.2 (633.3,660.7)</td>
<td>477.5 (462.7,492)</td>
<td>70.8 (63.3,77.3)</td>
<td>99.8 (90.7,108.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>640.6 (626,661)</td>
<td>453.8 (441,476.7)</td>
<td>79.6 (72.7,87.7)</td>
<td>107.2 (104,112.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>640.7 (629.7,652.7)</td>
<td>455.7 (439.7,469.3)</td>
<td>79.1 (68,87)</td>
<td>106 (100.7,110.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Figure 67 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 1

### Table 14 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>94.2 (89.7,98.7)</td>
<td>77.9 (73,82)</td>
<td>9.9 (7.3,13.3)</td>
<td>6.5 (4.7,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>82.5 (76.3,90)</td>
<td>66.8 (60.7,72.3)</td>
<td>9.6 (7,11.3)</td>
<td>6.1 (4.7,8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>84.4 (77.3,91)</td>
<td>68.9 (64,76.7)</td>
<td>9.4 (7.3,11.3)</td>
<td>6.1 (4.3,8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>82 (77.7,85.3)</td>
<td>67 (63.7,70)</td>
<td>9.5 (7,11.3)</td>
<td>5.5 (4.3,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>82.9 (74.7,89.7)</td>
<td>67.3 (62,73.7)</td>
<td>9.9 (7.3,12)</td>
<td>5.7 (4.3,8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>87.6 (79.7,99.3)</td>
<td>71.7 (64.7,83.7)</td>
<td>9.6 (8,13.3)</td>
<td>6.3 (4.3,8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>87 (81.7,92.3)</td>
<td>71.3 (67,77.3)</td>
<td>9.5 (7,12)</td>
<td>6.1 (4.3,8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 68 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 2

Table 15 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>209.7 (199.3,218.3)</td>
<td>175.8 (168,183)</td>
<td>21.5 (16.3,25)</td>
<td>12.4 (9,15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>199.4 (184.7,207.3)</td>
<td>167 (158,175.3)</td>
<td>21.3 (17.3,24)</td>
<td>11.1 (9.3,13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>199.1 (183.7,209.7)</td>
<td>166.5 (154.7,179.7)</td>
<td>21.3 (17.3,26.7)</td>
<td>11.3 (10,13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>191.9 (182.7,200.7)</td>
<td>160.2 (151.7,167)</td>
<td>20.7 (16.3,23)</td>
<td>11 (8.7,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>192.6 (185,204)</td>
<td>160.7 (153.3,165.3)</td>
<td>21.1 (19.24)</td>
<td>10.8 (8.3,14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>201.4 (194,210.3)</td>
<td>168.5 (159.3,176.7)</td>
<td>21.8 (19,25.7)</td>
<td>11.1 (8.7,14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>203.1 (194.3,215.3)</td>
<td>170.4 (160.7,179.3)</td>
<td>21 (17.3,24.3)</td>
<td>11.8 (9.7,14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 69 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 3

Table 16 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>131.2 (123.3,140.7)</td>
<td>104.5 (99.7,110.7)</td>
<td>16 (14.3,18.3)</td>
<td>10.7 (7.7,13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>121.9 (112.3,136.3)</td>
<td>95.4 (88.7,108)</td>
<td>16 (11.3,19.7)</td>
<td>10.5 (7,13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>122.8 (107,131.3)</td>
<td>96.4 (86.7,105.7)</td>
<td>15.9 (11.7,18.7)</td>
<td>10.5 (7,13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>118.2 (102,130)</td>
<td>93.4 (82.3,102.7)</td>
<td>14.8 (11.7,16.7)</td>
<td>10 (6.7,12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>118.8 (108.3,126)</td>
<td>93.6 (88.3,99.3)</td>
<td>15.2 (13.3,17)</td>
<td>10 (5.3,13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>116.5 (105.7,128)</td>
<td>90.6 (83.99)</td>
<td>15.6 (12.3,17.7)</td>
<td>10.3 (7,12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>115.9 (109,125.3)</td>
<td>90.7 (84.7,98.3)</td>
<td>15.1 (13.3,18)</td>
<td>10.1 (7.3,12.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 70** Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 4

**Table 17** Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>167 (155.7,176.7)</td>
<td>139.3 (130,147.3)</td>
<td>21.6 (19.3,27.3)</td>
<td>6.1 (4,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>158.6 (151.3,164.3)</td>
<td>132.5 (126.3,139)</td>
<td>20.9 (18,27.3)</td>
<td>5.3 (4,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>158.6 (150.7,164.7)</td>
<td>132.2 (125,139.3)</td>
<td>21.3 (18,27)</td>
<td>5.1 (4,6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>157.5 (152.3,164.3)</td>
<td>131.2 (120.7,136.3)</td>
<td>21.2 (19,26.3)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.7,8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>155.7 (148.3,165)</td>
<td>129.5 (122.3,139)</td>
<td>21.1 (19.3,26.7)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.3,7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>163.5 (155,173)</td>
<td>137.3 (126,143)</td>
<td>21.3 (19,26.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (2.7,8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>162.6 (156.3,170)</td>
<td>136.1 (128,143)</td>
<td>21.3 (19.3,26.3)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.3,7.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 71 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 5

Table 18 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>288.9 (281.3,295)</td>
<td>236.4 (226.7,244.3)</td>
<td>38.8 (35.7,41.3)</td>
<td>13.7 (11.7,16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>277 (264,283)</td>
<td>224.5 (214.7,230)</td>
<td>38.1 (33,42.3)</td>
<td>14.3 (12,16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>272.7 (264.3,281.3)</td>
<td>221.7 (216.7,227.7)</td>
<td>37.3 (32.7,40.7)</td>
<td>13.7 (11,16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>268.9 (258,282.3)</td>
<td>218.1 (210.7,227)</td>
<td>38 (34,42.3)</td>
<td>12.8 (11,15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>270.8 (256.7,277)</td>
<td>219.2 (209.7,225.3)</td>
<td>38.4 (33.7,42)</td>
<td>13.2 (10.7,16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>287.7 (276,299.7)</td>
<td>233.4 (220.3,242.3)</td>
<td>39.8 (35.4,37)</td>
<td>14.4 (12.7,16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>285 (275.3,297.7)</td>
<td>230.5 (223.7,242.3)</td>
<td>40.5 (37.7,43.7)</td>
<td>13.9 (11.7,15.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 72 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 6

Table 19 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>34.3 (27.3,40.3)</td>
<td>26.5 (20.3,31)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.7,6)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.7,4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>34.1 (30.7,40)</td>
<td>26.4 (21.7,30.7)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.3,5.7)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.3,5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>35.1 (30,39.3)</td>
<td>26.7 (23.7,30)</td>
<td>4.4 (1.7,6.3)</td>
<td>4 (2.3,5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>32.8 (28.7,37.7)</td>
<td>25.2 (21.7,29.3)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.3,6)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.4,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>33.9 (30.7,37.3)</td>
<td>26.7 (24,28.7)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.7,5.3)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.3,4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>34.4 (31,40)</td>
<td>26.6 (22,30.7)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.3,5)</td>
<td>3.9 (3,5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>35.6 (31,39)</td>
<td>27.6 (23.7,32.3)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.7,5.7)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.3,5.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 73 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 7

Table 20 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>126 (113,138.7)</td>
<td>102.7 (93,113.7)</td>
<td>16.2 (13.7,19.3)</td>
<td>7.1 (4.9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>111.4 (107.3,117)</td>
<td>91 (85.7,95)</td>
<td>14.2 (12,17)</td>
<td>6.2 (4.7,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>114.2 (108.7,122)</td>
<td>93.2 (86.3,102.7)</td>
<td>14.8 (12.3,18.7)</td>
<td>6.2 (3.7,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>113.7 (104.7,123)</td>
<td>92.8 (85.7,104)</td>
<td>14.5 (12,18)</td>
<td>6.4 (3.7,9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>112.4 (103,122.7)</td>
<td>91.8 (83.3,103.7)</td>
<td>14.6 (11.7,18.3)</td>
<td>6 (3.3,8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>116.1 (109.7,124.3)</td>
<td>95.2 (89.3,104.3)</td>
<td>14.4 (12,17)</td>
<td>6.5 (4,9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>115 (107,123.3)</td>
<td>94 (85.7,103.7)</td>
<td>14.9 (10.7,19.7)</td>
<td>6 (4,3,7.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 74** Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 8

**Table 21** Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>106.1 (100.7,120.7)</td>
<td>86.7 (80.7,100.3)</td>
<td>11.2 (8.3,13)</td>
<td>8.2 (6,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>99.1 (91.7,107.7)</td>
<td>81.2 (74,88.7)</td>
<td>10.3 (5.7,14.3)</td>
<td>7.6 (5.3,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>101.2 (92.7,113)</td>
<td>82.5 (74.3,93)</td>
<td>10.6 (7.7,12.7)</td>
<td>8.1 (5,11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>98.1 (87.7,113.3)</td>
<td>80.7 (73,95)</td>
<td>10.1 (7.3,12.3)</td>
<td>7.3 (5,3,9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>97.9 (91,107.3)</td>
<td>80.6 (74,89.7)</td>
<td>10 (6.7,12.3)</td>
<td>7.3 (5.7,9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>98.8 (89,110)</td>
<td>80.7 (75.7,92)</td>
<td>10.1 (7,12.7)</td>
<td>8 (6.9,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>100.3 (90.3,113)</td>
<td>81.9 (72,93)</td>
<td>10.3 (7,12.3)</td>
<td>8.1 (6,11.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figure 75: Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 9

### Table 22: Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>117.6 (110.7,126)</td>
<td>89.3 (83.7,99)</td>
<td>15.5 (12,19)</td>
<td>12.8 (11.7,14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>98.2 (93.3,101.7)</td>
<td>74.5 (70.3,79)</td>
<td>12.6 (8.7,15.3)</td>
<td>11.2 (9,12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>99.2 (90,105.7)</td>
<td>75.4 (68.7,81)</td>
<td>13.2 (9,17)</td>
<td>10.5 (8.7,13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>96.3 (92.7,100.3)</td>
<td>72.4 (68,79)</td>
<td>12.8 (10,15.3)</td>
<td>11.1 (8.7,13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>95.4 (88.3,102.7)</td>
<td>73 (68.7,80)</td>
<td>11.9 (9,14)</td>
<td>10.5 (9,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>102.2 (91,108.7)</td>
<td>78.3 (69,83)</td>
<td>13 (10,16.3)</td>
<td>10.8 (7.7,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>100.8 (96,107.7)</td>
<td>77.4 (73,82.3)</td>
<td>13.2 (9.7,16)</td>
<td>10.2 (8.7,11.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 76 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 10

Table 23 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>86.7 (80.7, 91)</td>
<td>72.6 (66.3, 77.3)</td>
<td>8.4 (4.7, 10.7)</td>
<td>5.7 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>89.4 (81.3, 99.3)</td>
<td>72.6 (63.7, 79.3)</td>
<td>9.2 (4.3, 12)</td>
<td>7.5 (6.7, 8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>88.9 (80.7, 95.3)</td>
<td>72.3 (66.3, 78)</td>
<td>9.5 (6, 12)</td>
<td>7 (5.7, 8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>88.4 (83.3, 99)</td>
<td>72.7 (68.3, 80.7)</td>
<td>9.1 (5.7, 11.3)</td>
<td>6.6 (5.7, 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>87.7 (82.7, 95.7)</td>
<td>72 (66.7, 77.7)</td>
<td>9.1 (5.3, 13.3)</td>
<td>6.6 (5.7, 8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>90.5 (85.3, 100.7)</td>
<td>73.5 (69.7, 82.7)</td>
<td>9.5 (6.1, 11.7)</td>
<td>7.5 (6.7, 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>89.5 (85.3, 99.3)</td>
<td>73.1 (67.3, 80.7)</td>
<td>9.7 (5.1, 12.7)</td>
<td>6.7 (5.3, 7.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 77 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 11

Table 24 Waitlist mortality counts by exception status - region 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>93.4 (85.7,100)</td>
<td>73.9 (66.3,80.3)</td>
<td>10.9 (7,13)</td>
<td>8.6 (7.3,10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>94.2 (86.3,105.3)</td>
<td>74.2 (68,84.7)</td>
<td>11 (8.3,13.7)</td>
<td>9 (7,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>92.5 (86.7,103.7)</td>
<td>72 (67,80)</td>
<td>11 (8,14.7)</td>
<td>9.5 (6,13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>88.8 (80.7,102.3)</td>
<td>71 (64,81.3)</td>
<td>10.7 (8,14.7)</td>
<td>7.1 (5.9,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>90.7 (79.3,103.3)</td>
<td>71.4 (63.7,82)</td>
<td>11.1 (7.7,15.3)</td>
<td>8.2 (6.3,10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>91 (82.7,98.7)</td>
<td>71.3 (61.3,78)</td>
<td>11.1 (8.3,13.7)</td>
<td>8.6 (6,10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>92.5 (83.3,108.3)</td>
<td>71.9 (65.7,83.3)</td>
<td>11.8 (9,17.7)</td>
<td>8.8 (6,12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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![Graph showing posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - Region 1](image)

**Figure 89** Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 1

**Table 25** Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>23.8 (19.7,28.3)</td>
<td>13.2 (11,16.7)</td>
<td>6 (3.7,9)</td>
<td>4.6 (2.7,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>25.5 (21.3,29.3)</td>
<td>18.2 (14,22.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (2,5.3)</td>
<td>3.7 (2,5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>23.1 (21,26)</td>
<td>16.7 (14.7,19)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.7,4.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.3,5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>24 (17.3,28.7)</td>
<td>18.4 (11.7,22.7)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.7,5)</td>
<td>2.8 (2,3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>22.9 (19.3,25.7)</td>
<td>17 (14.3,21.3)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.3,4)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.7,4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>23 (17.7,26.3)</td>
<td>15.1 (11.18.7)</td>
<td>4.4 (3,6)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>22.7 (17.7,26.3)</td>
<td>16 (13.3,18.7)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.5,3)</td>
<td>3.3 (2,6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 90 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 2

Table 26 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>89.5 (83.3, 97.3)</td>
<td>63.7 (57,70)</td>
<td>12.8 (10,16.7)</td>
<td>13.1 (8.7,18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>86.6 (79.7,100.7)</td>
<td>67.3 (58.7,73.7)</td>
<td>8.7 (5.7,13.3)</td>
<td>10.6 (8.3,13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>89.5 (78,98)</td>
<td>67.5 (58.3,72.3)</td>
<td>10.3 (9.3,13.3)</td>
<td>11.7 (9.3,15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>86.8 (81.3,90.3)</td>
<td>67.4 (63.7,72.7)</td>
<td>8.7 (6,12)</td>
<td>10.7 (7,14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>89.1 (83.7,98)</td>
<td>69.4 (65.3,76.3)</td>
<td>8.7 (6.7,10.7)</td>
<td>10.9 (9,14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>86 (76.7,99.3)</td>
<td>64 (56,77.7)</td>
<td>10.6 (7.3,13.3)</td>
<td>11.4 (7.3,14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>86.9 (82,95)</td>
<td>65.6 (58,75)</td>
<td>9.6 (6.3,11.3)</td>
<td>11.7 (8,14.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 91 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 3

Table 27 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>119.8 (110.7, 128.7)</td>
<td>91.2 (84, 102.7)</td>
<td>14.7 (11, 18.3)</td>
<td>13.9 (8.3, 18.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>116.9 (104, 128.3)</td>
<td>89.4 (78, 95.7)</td>
<td>15 (12.3, 21)</td>
<td>12.5 (8.7, 14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>119.6 (105.7, 136.3)</td>
<td>91.2 (77, 103.3)</td>
<td>15.6 (11.7, 21.7)</td>
<td>12.8 (9.3, 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>120.3 (105.7, 131.7)</td>
<td>94.8 (81, 104.3)</td>
<td>12.8 (11.3, 14)</td>
<td>12.7 (8.7, 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>120 (111.3, 128.3)</td>
<td>96.4 (89.7, 103.3)</td>
<td>13.3 (9.7, 17)</td>
<td>10.4 (7.7, 12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>122.1 (111.3, 130.3)</td>
<td>94.1 (88.3, 106.3)</td>
<td>16.3 (13.3, 20.7)</td>
<td>11.7 (7.7, 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>118.2 (104.3, 128)</td>
<td>91.6 (78.3, 100.3)</td>
<td>15.9 (14.7, 19)</td>
<td>10.7 (7, 13.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 92 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 4

Table 28 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>67.8 (59.3,73.3)</td>
<td>48.6 (43.3,53)</td>
<td>11.2 (9,14.7)</td>
<td>8 (5,13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>68.1 (65,72.3)</td>
<td>51.8 (49.7,54)</td>
<td>9.5 (7.3,13.7)</td>
<td>6.8 (4.7,8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>67.1 (62.7,74.3)</td>
<td>51 (45.7,56.7)</td>
<td>9.3 (7.11)</td>
<td>6.8 (4.3,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>67.2 (60.3,74)</td>
<td>52.3 (47.3,56.3)</td>
<td>8.5 (6.3,10.3)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.7,7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>70.9 (65,76.7)</td>
<td>56.2 (52.3,59)</td>
<td>8.7 (6.3,11.3)</td>
<td>6 (4,8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>67.3 (61.3,72.7)</td>
<td>48.6 (42.3,53.7)</td>
<td>10.9 (9.3,14)</td>
<td>7.8 (5.3,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>70.4 (62.3,76.3)</td>
<td>51.9 (48,57.7)</td>
<td>10.4 (7.7,12.7)</td>
<td>8 (5.7,9.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 93 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 5

Table 29 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>107.2 (96,117)</td>
<td>77.8 (72.3,83.7)</td>
<td>15.3 (12,19.3)</td>
<td>14.1 (11.7,16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>104.4 (98,117)</td>
<td>81.5 (74.7,93)</td>
<td>11.9 (8.7,14.7)</td>
<td>10.9 (9,13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>106 (98.3,111.7)</td>
<td>81.3 (73.3,88)</td>
<td>13.4 (11.7,16.3)</td>
<td>11.4 (8.7,16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>105.4 (97.3,109.7)</td>
<td>84 (75,89.7)</td>
<td>10.4 (8.3,12)</td>
<td>11 (10,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>106.4 (96.7,118.3)</td>
<td>84.3 (70.7,94.7)</td>
<td>11.3 (7.7,17.7)</td>
<td>10.7 (8.7,13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>104.8 (95.3,109.3)</td>
<td>76.4 (68.7,79.7)</td>
<td>14.1 (12,16.3)</td>
<td>14.3 (10,19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>104.6 (99.7,108.7)</td>
<td>78.2 (73.7,84)</td>
<td>13.7 (11,17.3)</td>
<td>12.7 (10.7,15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 94** Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 6

**Table 30** Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>18.4 (15.7,22)</td>
<td>12.7 (10,15.7)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3,4)</td>
<td>2.7 (1,4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>19.8 (18,23)</td>
<td>13.7 (10.7,16.3)</td>
<td>3 (2,4.3)</td>
<td>3 (2,3,4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>19.9 (15,23.7)</td>
<td>13.8 (10.3,17)</td>
<td>2.9 (2,4)</td>
<td>3.2 (1,7,4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>18.1 (15.3,20)</td>
<td>13.2 (10.3,15.7)</td>
<td>2.2 (1,4)</td>
<td>2.7 (1,3,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>19.6 (17.3,21.7)</td>
<td>14.5 (12.7,18.3)</td>
<td>2.4 (1,3.7)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.3,4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>18.9 (15.3,21.3)</td>
<td>12.9 (9.3,15.3)</td>
<td>3 (1.7,4)</td>
<td>3 (2,3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>19.5 (16,24.7)</td>
<td>14.2 (11,17)</td>
<td>3 (1.6)</td>
<td>2.4 (1,7,3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figure 95 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 7

### Table 31 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>58.2 (50.6,9)</td>
<td>42.1 (37.3,52)</td>
<td>9.4 (6.1,11.7)</td>
<td>6.7 (4.3,9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>61 (57.7,67)</td>
<td>48.3 (43.3,51.7)</td>
<td>7.5 (6.1,10.3)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.6,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>63.3 (56.7,72.3)</td>
<td>49 (44.7,58.3)</td>
<td>8.3 (7.9,7)</td>
<td>6 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>61.2 (53,69.3)</td>
<td>49 (43.7,54.3)</td>
<td>6.9 (4.3,9.3)</td>
<td>5.3 (3.7,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>61.9 (54.7,70.3)</td>
<td>48.8 (43.3,56)</td>
<td>8 (6.3,10)</td>
<td>5.1 (3.3,6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>63 (51.3,68.7)</td>
<td>47.5 (36.5,74.7)</td>
<td>8.9 (5.7,11.7)</td>
<td>6.6 (4.7,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>60 (53.3,69)</td>
<td>45.5 (40.7,55)</td>
<td>8.1 (5.7,9.7)</td>
<td>6.4 (5,8.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 96 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 8

Table 32 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>44.2 (38.3,50.7)</td>
<td>31.4 (25.7,35.7)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.7,7.7)</td>
<td>7.1 (4.8,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>45 (37.3,52.3)</td>
<td>32.7 (28.7,37)</td>
<td>6.1 (3.3,9.3)</td>
<td>6.2 (4,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>44.1 (39,49.3)</td>
<td>31.3 (26.7,36)</td>
<td>6.3 (3.7,8.3)</td>
<td>6.5 (4,7,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>45.5 (35.7,55.3)</td>
<td>33.7 (25.7,40)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.3,7.7)</td>
<td>6.3 (4.7,9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>45.1 (38.49)</td>
<td>33.5 (27,37.7)</td>
<td>5.8 (3.7,8.7)</td>
<td>5.9 (4,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>47.1 (43,53.3)</td>
<td>33 (30,35.7)</td>
<td>7.4 (5.9,3)</td>
<td>6.7 (4.3,11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>45.3 (36.7,51.3)</td>
<td>31.9 (23.7,38.3)</td>
<td>6.1 (3.7,9)</td>
<td>7.3 (4,9.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 97 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 9

Table 33 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>34.1 (29.3,38.3)</td>
<td>20 (15,24)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.3,7.3)</td>
<td>8.5 (6.7,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>39.7 (36,43.7)</td>
<td>28 (23,32.7)</td>
<td>4.4 (3.3,5.3)</td>
<td>7.3 (5.3,9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>38.4 (31.7,43.7)</td>
<td>26.2 (21.7,29.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.8)</td>
<td>7 (5.3,9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>40 (35,45.7)</td>
<td>28.3 (25,34.3)</td>
<td>4.8 (2.7,7.3)</td>
<td>6.9 (5.8,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>38.5 (36,43.7)</td>
<td>26.9 (22.7,31.7)</td>
<td>4.7 (3.3,7.3)</td>
<td>6.9 (4.7,10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>39.8 (35.3,49.7)</td>
<td>26.4 (21.7,31.3)</td>
<td>5 (3.7,7)</td>
<td>8.5 (7,12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>41.6 (34.7,46.3)</td>
<td>27 (23.7,30)</td>
<td>5.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>8.6 (5.7,11.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 34 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>56.2 (50,61.7)</td>
<td>39.6 (34.47)</td>
<td>6.9 (5.7,9)</td>
<td>9.7 (7.3,12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>52 (45.7,58.3)</td>
<td>38.8 (34.3,34.3)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.3,7.7)</td>
<td>7.5 (4.7,10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>50.8 (47.7,57.7)</td>
<td>36.8 (33.3,40.7)</td>
<td>5.9 (5,6.7)</td>
<td>8.1 (3,11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>51.9 (46.7,61.7)</td>
<td>38.9 (33.7,50.3)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.3,8.3)</td>
<td>7.8 (4.3,10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>51.6 (45.56)</td>
<td>38.2 (35.43)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.3,6.7)</td>
<td>8.2 (5.3,10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>51.7 (42.7,60)</td>
<td>38.1 (32.3,43.7)</td>
<td>5.8 (4.7,8)</td>
<td>7.8 (4.3,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>50.8 (43.59)</td>
<td>36.7 (30.46)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.8)</td>
<td>8.9 (6.7,10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 35 Posttransplant mortality counts by exception status - region 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No Exceptions</th>
<th>HCC Exception</th>
<th>Other Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>66.8 (61,74.3)</td>
<td>47.7 (42.3,53.3)</td>
<td>7.7 (5.3,10.3)</td>
<td>11.4 (9,13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m</td>
<td>62.6 (53.7,73.7)</td>
<td>45.9 (38.3,56.3)</td>
<td>7.5 (5.7,8.7)</td>
<td>9.2 (7,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29 150m DSA</td>
<td>66.1 (62.7,70.3)</td>
<td>47.6 (42.3,52.7)</td>
<td>8.3 (7,10.3)</td>
<td>10.2 (8,13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m</td>
<td>60.7 (56.3,66)</td>
<td>45.2 (41.3,51.7)</td>
<td>6.6 (5.3,8.7)</td>
<td>8.8 (6,12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22 150m DSA</td>
<td>64.5 (57.7,75.3)</td>
<td>48.6 (44.7,57.7)</td>
<td>7 (5.9,3)</td>
<td>8.9 (6.3,10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m</td>
<td>62.8 (56.7,70.3)</td>
<td>45 (41.3,52.3)</td>
<td>7.2 (4.7,9.3)</td>
<td>10.6 (8,13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150m DSA</td>
<td>66.1 (56.7,73.7)</td>
<td>48.9 (42.7,57.3)</td>
<td>7.8 (5,10.3)</td>
<td>9.4 (6,13.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Allocation MELD/PELD at Transplant

Variance in Median Allocation MELD/PELD at Transplant by DSA

Figure 144 Variance in median allocation M/P at transplant by DSA by age - all regions
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Figure 147 Median allocation MELD/PELD at transplant by age - all regions
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Transplant Rates by Age - All Regions
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Figure 165 Variance in waitlist mortality rates by DSA by age - all regions
Figure 166 Variance in waitlist mortality rates by DSA by sex - all regions
Figure 167 Variance in waitlist mortality rates by DSA by race/ethnicity - all regions
Posttransplant Mortality

Posttransplant Mortality Rates

Figure 168 Posttransplant mortality rates by age - all regions
Figure 169 Posttransplant mortality rates by sex - all regions
Figure 170 Posttransplant mortality rates by race/ethnicity - all regions
Posttransplant Mortality Counts

Figure 171 Posttransplant mortality counts by age - all regions
Figure 172 Posttransplant mortality counts by sex - all regions
Figure 173 Posttransplant mortality counts by race/ethnicity - all regions
Transport

**Median Transport Time**

*Figure 174 Median Transport Time by age - all regions*
Figure 175 Median Transport Time by sex - all regions
Figure 176 Median Transport Time by race/ethnicity - all regions
Median Transport Distance

Figure 177 Median Transport Distance by age - all regions
Figure 178 Median Transport Distance by sex - all regions
Figure 179 Median Transport Distance by race/ethnicity - all regions
Percent of Organs Flown

Figure 180 Percent of Organs Flown by age - all regions
Figure 181 Percent of Organs Flown by sex - all regions
Figure 182 Percent of Organs Flown by race/ethnicity - all regions
Percent of Within DSA Transplants

Figure 183 Percent of within DSA transplants by age - all regions
Figure 184 Percent of within DSA transplants by sex - all regions
Figure 185 Percent of within DSA transplants by race/ethnicity - all regions
Appendix C: Results by education, insurance, and urban/rural

MELD/PELD at Transplant

Variance in Median Allocation MELD/PELD at Transplant by DSA

Figure 186 Variance in median allocation M/P at transplant by DSA by education level - all regions
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Figure 188: Variance in median allocation M/P at transplant by DSA by urban/rural - all regions
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Figure 189 Median allocation MELD/PELD at transplant by education level - all regions
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Appendix D: Allocation ordering for policy scenarios simulated in LI2017_02

Current allocation (scenario 1)

For adult donors:

1. Regional Status 1A
2. Regional Status 1B
3. DSA and Regional MELD/PELD ≥ 35 (by MELD)
4. DSA MELD/PELD 15-34
5. Regional MELD/PELD 15-34
6. National Status 1A
7. National Status 1B
8. National MELD/PELD ≥ 15
9. DSA MELD/PELD < 15
10. Regional MELD/PELD < 15
11. National MELD/PELD < 15

For adolescent donors (11-17 years):

1. DSA Pediatric Status 1A
2. Regional Pediatric Status 1A
3. DSA Adult Status 1A
4. Regional Adult Status 1A
5. DSA Pediatric Status 1B
6. Regional Pediatric Status 1B
7. DSA and Regional Any PELD
8. DSA MELD ≥ 15, 12-17 years
9. DSA MELD ≥ 15, ≥ 18 years
10. Regional MELD ≥ 15, 12-17 years
11. Regional MELD ≥ 15, ≥ 18 years
12. DSA MELD < 15, 12-17 years
13. DSA MELD < 15, ≥ 18 years
14. Regional MELD < 15, 12-17 years
15. Regional MELD < 15, ≥ 18 years
16. National Pediatric Status 1A
17. National Adult Status 1A
18. National Pediatric Status 1B
19. National Any PELD
20. National Any MELD, 12-17 years
21. National Any MELD, ≥ 18 years

For child donors (0-10 years):
1. Regional Pediatric Status 1A
2. National Pediatric Status 1A, 0-11 years
3. DSA Adult Status 1A
4. Regional Adult Status 1A
5. Regional Pediatric Status 1B
6. Regional Any PELD
7. DSA MELD ≥ 15, 12-17 years
8. DSA MELD ≥ 15, ≥ 18 years
9. Regional MELD ≥ 15, 12-17 years
10. Regional MELD ≥ 15, ≥ 18 years
11. DSA MELD < 15, 12-17 years
12. DSA MELD < 15, ≥ 18 years
13. Regional MELD < 15, 12-17 years
14. Regional MELD < 15, ≥ 18 years
15. National Status 1A, 12-17 years
16. National Status 1A, ≥ 18 years
17. National Status 1B, 0-17 years
18. National Any PELD
19. National Any MELD, 12-17 years
20. National Any MELD, ≥ 18 years

M29 150m (scenario 2)

For adult candidates, "MELD" below will use the larger of allocation MELD or the calculated MELD plus 150 mile proximity points (as applicable)

For DCD and/or 70+ years old donors:
1. Enhanced-Region Status 1A
2. Enhanced-Region Status 1B
3. DSA MELD/PELD >= 15
4. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD >= 15
5. National Status 1A
6. National Pediatric Status 1B
7. National MELD/PELD >= 15
8. DSA MELD/PELD < 15
9. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD < 15
10. National MELD/PELD < 15

For adult donors:
1. Enhanced-Region Status 1A
2. Enhanced-Region Status 1B
3. Enhanced-Region adjusted MELD/PELD >= 29
   - The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus 150 mile proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus 150 mile proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.
4. DSA MELD/PELD >= 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
5. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD >= 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
6. National Status 1A
7. National Status 1B
8. National MELD/PELD >= 15
9. DSA MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
10. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
11. National MELD/PELD < 15

For adolescent donors (11-17 years):
1. Enhanced-Region Pediatric (0-17 years) Status 1A
2. Enhanced-Region Adult Status 1A
3. Enhanced-Region Pediatric (0-17 years) Status 1B
4. Enhanced-Region any PELD (< 12 years)
5. Enhanced-Region Adolescent (12- 17 years) MELD >= 15
6. Enhanced-Region Adult MELD >= 15
7. Enhanced-Region Adolescent (12- 17 years) MELD < 15
8. Enhanced-Region Adult MELD < 15
9. National Pediatric (0-17 years) Status 1A
10. National Adult Status 1A
11. National Pediatric (0-17 years) Status 1B
12. National any PELD (< 12 years)
13. National Adolescent (12- 17 years) any MELD
14. National Adult any MELD

For child donors (0-10 years):
1. Enhanced-Region Pediatric Status 1A
2. National Pediatric (0-11 years) Status 1A
3. Enhanced-Region Adult Status 1A
4. Enhanced-Region Pediatric Status 1B
5. Enhanced-Region any PELD (< 12 years)
6. Enhanced-Region Adolescent (12- 17 years) MELD >= 15
7. Enhanced-Region Adult MELD >= 15
8. Enhanced-Region Adolescent (12- 17 years) MELD < 15
9. Enhanced-Region Adult MELD < 15
10. National Adolescent (12-17 years) Status 1A
11. National Adult Status 1A
12. National Pediatric Status 1B
13. National any PELD (<12 years)
14. National Adolescent (12-17 years) any MELD
15. National Adult any MELD

M29 150m DSA (scenario 3)

For adult candidates, "MELD" below will use the larger of allocation MELD or the calculated MELD plus 150 mile or DSA proximity points (as applicable)

For DCD and/or 70+ years old donors: No change from scenario 2.

For adult donors:
1. Enhanced-Region Status 1A
2. Enhanced-Region Status 1B
3. Enhanced-Region adjusted MELD/PELD >= 29
   - The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus 150 mile or DSA proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus 150 mile or DSA proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.
4. DSA MELD/PELD >= 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
5. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD >= 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
6. National Status 1A
7. National Status 1B
8. National MELD/PELD >= 15
9. DSA MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
10. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
11. National MELD/PELD < 15

For adolescent donors (11-17 years): No change from scenario 2.

For child donors (0-10 years): No change from scenario 2.
M22 150m (scenario 4)

For adult candidates, "MELD" below will use the larger of allocation MELD or the calculated MELD plus 150 mile proximity points (as applicable)

*For DCD and/or 70+ years old donors:* No change from scenario 2.

*For adult donors:*

1. Enhanced-Region Status 1A
2. Enhanced-Region Status 1B
3. Enhanced-Region adjusted MELD/PELD >= 22
   - The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus 150 mile proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus 150 mile proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.
4. DSA MELD/PELD >= 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
5. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD >= 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
6. National Status 1A
7. National Status 1B
8. National MELD/PELD >= 15
9. DSA MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
10. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
11. National MELD/PELD < 15

*For adolescent donors (11-17 years):* No change from scenario 2.

*For child donors (0-10 years):* No change from scenario 2.

M22 150m DSA (scenario 5)

For adult candidates, "MELD" below will use the larger of allocation MELD or the calculated MELD plus 150 mile or DSA proximity points (as applicable)

*For DCD and/or 70+ years old donors:* No change from scenario 2.

*For adult donors:*

1. Enhanced-Region Status 1A
2. Enhanced-Region Status 1B
3. Enhanced-Region adjusted MELD/PELD >= 22
- The adjusted MELD/PELD is equal to the calculated (lab) MELD plus 150 mile or DSA proximity points (as applicable) for adults, or the allocation MELD/PELD plus 150 mile or DSA proximity points (as applicable) for candidates aged <18.

4. DSA MELD/PELD $\geq$ 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
5. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD $\geq$ 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
6. National Status 1A
7. National Status 1B
8. National MELD/PELD $\geq$ 15
9. DSA MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
10. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD < 15 (exclude tier 3 eligible)
11. National MELD/PELD < 15

For adolescent donors (11-17 years): No change from scenario 2.

For child donors (0-10 years): No change from scenario 2.

150m (scenario 6)

For adult candidates, "MELD" below will use the larger of allocation MELD or the calculated MELD plus 150 mile proximity points (as applicable)

For DCD and/or 70+ years old donors: No change from scenario 2.

For adult donors:
1. Enhanced-Region Status 1A
2. Enhanced-Region Status 1B
3. Enhanced-Region MELD/PELD
4. National Status 1A
5. National Status 1B
6. National MELD/PELD

For adolescent donors (11-17 years): No change from scenario 2.

For child donors (0-10 years): No change from scenario 2.

150m DSA (scenario 7)

For adult candidates, "MELD" below will use the larger of allocation MELD or the calculated MELD plus 150 mile or DSA proximity points (as applicable)

For DCD and/or 70+ years old donors: No change from scenario 2.
For adult donors: No change from scenario 6.

For adolescent donors (11-17 years): No change from scenario 2.

For child donors (0-10 years): No change from scenario 2.
Appendix E: DSA-level data tables

Background

The Committee requested further analysis of certain metrics consisting of data provided at a DSA level. The data shown in these tables are estimated data based on the SRTR liver simulated allocation model (LSAM, 2017).

While the policies governing liver allocation are clearly established, some random variation is associated with the process, for instance, when and where a liver becomes available, whether an offered organ is accepted by a given candidate, and how long a liver graft survives posttransplant. These events are fixed when reviewing historical data, but are unlikely to repeat themselves in exactly the same ways in the future. To help separate the effects of allocation changes from simple variation over time, LSAM uses several statistical modeling techniques:

- Each allocation scenario is simulated 10 times, with an independent set of candidates and donors for each iteration.
- Graft survival time for each simulated transplant is predicted based on a historical model of candidate and donor characteristics.
- Each simulated organ offer is accepted or declined based on a model of historical acceptance behavior, also taking into account candidate and donor characteristics.

These modeling elements introduce variation, presented as a range of results across the 10 iterations for each modeled scenario. Comparing the range of variation within a scenario with the variation between scenarios can help distinguish differences due to random variation from those due to a change in allocation rules.

The modeling used in LSAM has limitations. Predictions are most reliable on a national level, because the statistical models are based on average national behavior and outcomes. LSAM cannot predict outcomes on a center-by-center basis, because the individual variations in practice and procedure are not represented in the models. Predictions are based on historical listing and offer acceptance behavior. If the rules of a simulated scenario are likely to result in changes to listing or acceptance behavior, this should be factored in when evaluating the results.

Data Tables

Because of the large amount of data included in the DSA-level data tables, the tables themselves are attached to this report in Microsoft Excel spreadsheet format.

Within each data cell in the attached tables, the data are provided in the format mean (minimum, maximum). The mean is the mean value across the 10 simulated iterations for each scenario, the minimum is the minimum value across the 10 iterations, and the maximum is the maximum value across the 10 iterations.

The data tables included are:

- Table 1. Transplant counts by DSA
- Table 2. Transplant rates by DSA
- Table 3. Waitlist mortality counts by DSA
- Table 4. Waitlist mortality rates by DSA
• Table 5. Median MELD/PELD at transplant (MMaT) by DSA
• Table 6. Percent of organs transplanted within the DSA that were flown
• Table 7. Percent of organs recovered within the DSA that were flown
• Table 8. Percent of organs transplanted within the DSA that were also recovered within the DSA (local transplants)
• Table 9. Percent of organs recovered within the DSA that were transplanted within the DSA